

EICHMANN

and His Bitter Harvest of Hate

Voices of all faiths protest the act of kidnapping

by John Lines

PEOPLE throughout the world were badly shocked at the illegal kidnapping of Adolph Eichmann by Israel on the sovereign territory of Argentina. Christians, Jews, Moslems and others resented this unlawful act. The following quotations, nearly all from Jewish sources, speak for themselves:

"If Israel's explanation that she acted in the Eichmann case as the representative of all Jews is accepted, will not the governments and the people of Argentina and other South American countries be justified in concluding that their Jewish citizens have an additional allegiance of some kind to Israel, other than to their own states? Will not this cast doubt in the minds of the Argentinians, gov-

ernment and people, on the loyalty of their Jewish fellow-citizens? What effect will Israel's acts like these have on the future admission of Jewish immigrants to South American countries? What government will want to have more immigrants who from the outset come with fixed loyalties to a foreign state? How much longer will these nationalistic fanatics undermine the position of Jews in other countries for the benefit of their fantastic ideological claims? And how much longer will Jews in America and in other countries accept such explanations without protest?" — Judge Jacob Panken.

"Several weeks have passed since the news of the capture of Eichmann arrived and the country is still stirred to its depth by the fantastic event.

The unrest is growing as reports come in showing how the revenge complex is developing among Israelis. The radio is daily reporting interviews with individuals who declare that they want to act as executioners of Eichmann. There were Jews who said that the death sentence was not enough for Eichmann. *He has to be tortured and made to die more than once.* A well known writer, David Zakhai, advocates in *Davar*, the Israel government newspaper, that Eichmann is to be caged like a wild animal and exhibited throughout Israel." —Zionist writer, Ephraim Auerbach, an American visiting Israel, in the *New York Journal-Tog* (June 13, 1960).

"It demonstrates more dramatically than any other contemporary event the profound psychological problem that the Eichmann abduction has raised, like a specter from the tortured past, to plague the people of Israel and nationalistic Jews outside its frontiers. The heart of the problem is: Is Israel now reaping the bitter harvest of the pathological hate sown by the Nazis? Are the most tragic victims of hate to become its inheritors?" —William Zukerman, *Jewish Newsletter*, June 27, 1960.

Elizabeth Dilling, P. O. Box 659, Chicago 90, Illinois, published the following: "I have three news clippings in which there is the statement that Eichmann was born in Palestine (Israel) near Tel Aviv and attended Jewish schools. One, for example, is from the *Chicago Tribune* of May 24, 1960. All accounts tell of his education in Hebrew" (In her April 1960, Bulletin, Mrs. Dilling gave 38 pages of documentation on Hitler having been financed in part by Zionists.)

The *California Jewish Voice* celebrated the propaganda value of the capture of Eichmann with a four-column head under which are paeans of praise for the work of the Israeli secret police (which apparently seized Eichmann and kept him "doped" for over a month before being able to kidnap him out of Argentina).

DR. MAX BRETZFELDER, a former judge in Germany, stated: "The capture and abduction of Adolf Eichmann by the Israeli secret police is creating quite a legal problem. . . . The fiction of the Israeli Nationality Law (of 1950) that every Jew in the world is potentially a subject of the State of Israel is not recognized anywhere as valid."

Clarence A. Coleman, President, American Council for Judaism, pointed out that by its action the Israeli government has violated the third clause of the *Balfour Declaration* (the international document which forms the foundation of the Israeli State) which reads: "It is clearly understood that nothing shall be done to prejudice the rights and political status enjoyed by Jews in any other country." By the advancement of the claim by Israel to moral stewardship and the right to represent all Jews it calculated to "prejudice the rights and political status of U. S. citizens of Jewish faith?"

"THE COMMUNIST press, particularly that of Soviet Russia, has generally approved of the Eichmann kidnapping as "morally and legally justified." A typical inspired editorial in the semi-official Moscow *New Times* said: There has been much speculation in the world press about how, when, and where Eichmann was caught. This has tended to obscure the facts that are much more important and relevant. Eichmann has been caught only 15 years after the collapse of Nazism. (*New York Times*, June 19.) (The communists

were the most frequent users of kidnapping of their opponents as a matter of policy and they were loudly denounced for it in the West. The fact that Israel has followed in the Communist footsteps and even glorifies the act, is a public confirmation and moral support of communist policy and tactics.)

The *Chicago Daily News* argued that Israel, being in the emotional state that it is in now, cannot be trusted to give Eichmann a fair trial and that, at best, the trial would be an act of revenge, not of justice. The *London Manchester Guardian*, the most pro-Zionist newspaper in the western world, editorialized: "This act will doubtless be a blow to international law and to the good name of Israel." The liberal *News Chronicle* of London stated: "Despite the sympathy which one has for Israel's decision to bring to justice the hated Adolf Eichmann, it is clear that Israel has violated international law."

The *Washington Post*, in an editorial entitled, "Jungle Law," (May 25, 1960) states: "Everything connected with the proceedings against Eichmann is tainted with lawlessness. If, as reported, he was abducted from another country, interna-

tional law was violated. The crimes with which he is to be charged were committed in Germany and Austria; Israel has no jurisdiction to try the case. In any event, Israel can try him only under *ex post facto* statutes. To try him according to the forms of law is to make a mockery of law. All that the government of Israel can do in this case is to wreak vengeance; it cannot secure justice."

"BARBARISM is not the unique concern of Israel; to deprecate as 'legalistic' all questions raised about Eichmann's arrest and prosecution is to miss the tragic point of modern history—that there can be no safety for anyone as long as any sector of the world community defies the rule of law.

. . . (*New York Post*, a pro-Israel, pro-Zionist newspaper.)

Don Bell Reports, Palm Beach, Florida (an article by Mr. Bell appears on page 135 of this issue.) believes the real reason for this action is revealed by William Zukerman in the *Jewish Newsletter*, June 13, 1960, as follows: "After its first decade of existence, Israel is rapidly losing its ideological lustre as the National Homeland and Center of all Jews in the world. The Jews of the Western countries and the governments of all countries of emigration have put a practical stop to Israel's Ingathering (mass immigration), the cornerstone of Zionist ideology. The Zionist movement, the ideological progenitor of Israel, is in a state of crisis, rapidly nearing collapse."

The Zionists are quick to label any who expose them as "hate-mongers." They hypocritically prattle in selfrighteous tones for "peace through Justice" and peace through law." The Zionists are hoist on their own petard. They have defied every rule of justice laid down by God or man. They have violated every international law on the books.

Do you remember the Zionists' furor in March 1956 when Dictator Trujillo of the Dominican Republic allegedly kidnapped Jesus de Galindez after a lecture at Columbia University?